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The differentiation and morphogenesis of neural tissues involves a diversity of interactions between neural cells and their environment. Many potentially important interactions occur with the extracellular matrix (ECM), a complex association of extracellular glycoproteins organized into aggregates and polymers. In this article, we discuss recent findings on neuronal interactions with the ECM and their roles in neural cell migration and neurite growth. First, we examine the expression and putative functions of the molecules of the neural ECM. Second, we discuss cell surface molecules that mediate neural interactions with ECM components. Last, we address proteoglycans (PGs), a diverse class of glycoproteins, present both as ECM components and as cell surface molecules, which may mediate neural interactions with their environment.

The best-understood cellular interactions with the ECM are adhesive, mediated by binding between specific cell surface molecules and cell binding domains of ECM components (Strittmatter and Fishman, 1991; Damsky and Werb, 1992). Cell-substratum adhesion is necessary for major cell movements of neuron morphogenesis, that is, the migrations of neural cells and their precursors and the migratory behavior of growth cones at the leading tips of axons and dendrites. As cells move, adhesive molecules at the surface of the leading edge of a migrating cell or growth cone bind to ligands on other cell surfaces or ECM components. These bonds stabilize filopodia and lamellipodia, and, in some cases, provide anchorage against which cytoskeletal filaments, associated with the plasma membrane, exert forces to pull the cell or growth cone forward. Thus, ECM has been primarily viewed as an adhesive substrate to provide traction for migrating cells and to stabilize the position and, perhaps, the state of differentiation of nonmotile cells.

However, the interactions between neural cells and the ECM are not longer regarded as only adhesive or mechanical. Two points are now clear. First, some of these interactions are definitively not adhesive, but, rather, they may even be antiadhesive (Chiquet-Ehrismann, 1991). Second, evidence has accumulated to indicate that the cell surface molecules that mediate cell-cell and cell-ECM interactions (immunoglobulin superfamily, cadherins, integrins) modulate the same cytoplasmic second messenger pathways (e.g., cytoplasmic $[Ca^{2+}]$, protein kinases, inositol phosphates), as do hormones, growth factors, neurotransmitters, and pharmaceuticals (reviewed in Damsky and Werb, 1992; Hynes, 1992; Hynes and Lander, 1992; Schweighoffer and Shaw, 1992; Thiery and Boyer, 1992). Thus, neural cell-ECM interactions are more complex than they were previously thought to be. These interactions may generate signals that directly regulate locomotory activities, such as polymerization of cytoskeletal components and associations of cytoskeleton and plasma membrane, that produce extension and adhesion of lamellipodia and filopodia and generate mechanical force. In addition, these signals may act in cell nuclei to alter gene expression of proteins involved in neuronal migration and morphogenesis.

Extracellular Matrix Molecules

Organization and diversity of the ECM in developing nervous tissue

Embryonic neural tissues contain a dynamic ECM, composed of many types of molecules that have distinct patterns of spatial and temporal expression. Many ECM components, originally discovered in non-neural tissues, are also present in developing neural tissues, including fibronectin (FN), laminin (LM), vitronectin, collagens, PGs, tenascin, and thrombospondin (Reichardt and Tomaselli, 1991). Some ECM components were originally discovered in neural tissues, such as S-laminin and agrin, which are concentrated in the basement membrane at neuromuscular junctions (Reist et al., 1987; Hunter et al., 1989a,b), and F-spondin, which is abundantly expressed in the spinal cord floor plate (Klar et al., 1992).

Many ECM components are modular or mosaic proteins, composed of several polypeptide domains that can be differentially assembled as a consequence of alternative mRNA splicing (Engel, 1991). These domains build characteristic motifs of polypeptide folding, and they are often named for the protein in which they were first recognized, for example, FN type I, II, III; epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like; immunoglobulin-like; carboxy-terminal LM globule (Fig. 1). The structural similarities of any domain type in different proteins are greater than peptide sequence similarities, and a pressing question is whether structural similarity entails functional similarity for a domain, as it exists in different ECM and cell surface proteins. Alternative mRNA splicing permits multiple combinations of these structural domains, thereby increasing the potential for functional
Figure 1. A schematic illustration of protein domains of some ECM cell surface molecules. For multichain proteins, only a single subunit is shown. For tenascin, which is largest (230 kDa) form is shown. Integrins N4 and the F11 receptor have been chosen as examples of the integrin and IgG receptor superfamilies, respectively. Perlecan is given as an example of an extracellular proteoglycan with multiple conserved domains. Fibronectin, tenascin, laminin, collagen, thrombospondin, and perlecan are predominantly ECM molecules, although they are in some cases found associated with cell surfaces.

diversity. Several domain types (FN type III, immunoglobulin-like, EGF-like, thrombospondin type I) are known to mediate cellular interactions (Thiery and Boyer, 1992; Yamada and Kleinman, 1992). FN and tenascin exemplify ECM proteins for which alternate splicing produces different protein forms in different spatial and temporal patterns (Kaplon et al., 1991; Pagan et al., 1991).

Several ECM components are now known to be part of protein families, encompassing several evolutionary related genes. A prominent example is the family of LM-like proteins. LM, a potent stimulator of neurite outgrowth, is composed of a B1, a B2, and an A chain (Reichardt and Tomeselli, 1991). Merosin combines a distinct A chain homolog with B1 and B2 to generate a novel form, which also promotes neurite outgrowth, but has a different spatial and temporal expression than LM (Engvall et al., 1992). S-laminin, which contains a different B1 chain, has yet another pattern of expression, and although it is adhesive for motor neurons, it does not stimulate neurite outgrowth (Hunter et al., 1989b, 1991). With several possible homologs of each chain and multiple combinations of B1, B2, and A homologs, the number of LM-like proteins may be quite large. Tenascin is another ECM component that represents a protein family. Tenascin (also known as cytotactin, J1 glycoprotein, hexabrachion, myotendinous antigen, and glial mesenchymal ECM antigen) is present in many tissues and exists in alternatively spliced forms (Jones et al., 1989; Spring et al., 1989). A related gene codes for J1-160/180, also known as janusin (Peshava et al., 1989), and a third form, restrictin, is expressed only in neural tissues (Nordenberg et al., 1992). Since these proteins have multiple domains with adhesive and antiadhesive effects, the tenascin family may play many different roles.

ECM components can also exist as cell surface components, as in the case of several members of the immunoglobulin superfamily. These molecules can be bound to cell surfaces by a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) membrane anchor, and are also released or secreted into the ECM (Sonderregger and Kalthen, 1992). Neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM) typifies a cell surface adhesion component that also exists extracellularly, in cerebrospinal fluid, and also associated with ECM components (He et al., 1987). Similarly, the isotypes chick axonin 1/rat TAG-1 and chicken F11/mouse F3 exist in multiple forms (Durbec et al., 1992). There may be great diversity of interactions involving these glycoproteins, since several bind in both the homophilic and heterophilic manner to components of cell surfaces.
and ECM (Kuhn et al., 1991; Stoeckli et al., 1991; Sonderegger and Rathjen, 1992).

*Spatial and temporal distribution of ECM components in developing neural tissues*

Immunohistochemistry and *in situ* hybridization with nucleotide probes have been used to examine the spatial and temporal expression patterns of ECM components in developing neural tissues, especially in regions of cell movements. These studies have shown that there is abundant ECM in the CNS and PNS, at times when neurons differentiate and migrate, and axons elongate. This ECM is transiently present, and it is substantially reduced by the end of development. Although the presence of ECM is clearly widespread, not all ECM components have been localized, and not all regions and stages have been surveyed in any one species.

The complexity of the extracellular environment is revealed by examining the expression patterns of several ECM components in a single region over the course of development. For example, the developing subplate of the mammalian telencephalon is a transient layer, containing extracellular space through which neurons and growth cones migrate (Schatz et al., 1990). This region has a complex ECM, which includes FN, LM, chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan (CSPG), and S-laminin (Sheppard et al., 1991; Hunter et al., 1992a). The combination of immunohistochemical evidence from embryonic tissues and immunocytochemical and biochemical studies of cultured cells suggests that embryonic glia synthesize most or all of this transient ECM. In the developing spinal cord a variety of ECM components and cell adhesion molecules, LM, S-laminin, collagen IV, heparan sulfate proteoglycan (HSPG), F-spondin, L1, Fl1, N-cadherin, neurofascin, and TAG-1, are distributed in a complex spatial and temporal pattern (Shiga and Oppenheim, 1991; Klar et al., 1992). Growth cones that migrate in this environment encounter surfaces expressing diverse molecules, depending on neuronal type, pathway, and developmental stage. Several ECM components, including S-laminin, F-spondin, and tissue plasminogen activator, reach their highest expression in the floor plate, a region that may be critical in spinal cord growth cone navigation (Hunter et al., 1992a; Klar et al., 1992; Sumi et al., 1992).

Another way to examine the pattern of ECM expression is by localizing a single ECM component in different regions and developmental periods. Comparison of the results may suggest roles that are played by a particular component. The LM homolog S-laminin was discovered by virtue of its restricted presence in the neuromuscular synaptic basal lamina, as distinct from LM, which is present throughout the muscle basal lamina (Hunter et al., 1989a,b). In the telencephalic subplate, the neural retina, and the spinal cord, the distribution of S-laminin is more spatially and temporally restricted than that of LM (Hunter et al., 1992a,b). These differential patterns of S-laminin deposition suggest that its functions are distinct from those of LM. For example, while LM stimulates neurite elongation, S-laminin may promote the cessation of neurite elongation before synaptogenesis at motor end plates, and in the neural retina LM and S-laminin may have distinct effects on differentiation of the various retinal cell types.

Immunohistochemical studies of the distribution patterns of tenascin indicate that, unlike S-laminin, it is broadly expressed in developing tissues (Chiquet-Ehrismann, 1990). The roles of tenascin are uncertain. One reason for this uncertainty arises from comparisons of immunohistochemical staining of different developing neural tissues. In the cerebral cortex tenascin may not be involved in neuronal migration or axonal growth, since it does not appear until after cortical layers and axonal pathways are established (Sheppard et al., 1991). However, in the postnatal cerebellar cortex, tenasin is present when parallel fibers are elongating throughout the molecular layers (S. Bartsch et al., 1992). In the mouse, tenasin is present in the optic nerve and in peripheral nerves at the time axons are growing (U. Bartsch et al., 1992). Yet, tenasin is reported absent from both the early limb mesenchyme in regions penetrated by the first axons (Wehrle-Haller et al., 1992) and from the developing chick optic nerve (Perez and Hallter, 1993). Uncertainty about the role of tenasin also comes from *in vitro* studies that report tenasin can both promote and inhibit neuronal migration and neurite elongation (Faisstner and Kruse, 1990; Wehrle and Chiquet, 1990; Chiquet-Ehrismann, 1991; Ochter et al., 1991). These diverse reports suggest that tenasin modulates axonal growth, but the mechanism is unclear.

Studies of the distribution patterns of ECM components have also been carried out with invertebrate embryos (reviewed by Fessler and Feussler, 1989; Hortsch and Goodman, 1991). Invertebrate homologs of collagen IV, LM, tenasin, and vitronectin have been described in animal systems where genetic approaches can be applied to reveal functions of these known ECM components in neuronal morphogenesis (Kusche-Gullberg et al., 1992; Mirre et al., 1992; Nakashima et al., 1992; Baumgartner and Chiquet-Ehrismann, 1993; Herchliche et al., 1993). In addition, studies of invertebrates may lead to discovery of novel ECM components. For example, three monoclonal antibodies label the ECM of embryonic insect legs in a graded, nonuniform distribution (Norbeck et al., 1992), indicating that the protein antigens are present in spatial and temporal distribution patterns that make them candidates for substratum-bound axon guidance cues.

*Functions of ECM components in neuronal development*

*In vivo approaches.* These *in vivo* patterns of ECM distribution and expression indicate that many ECM components are present where they could modulate cell migrations and neurite growth. Until recently, attempts to identify the functions of ECM components in vertebrate neural development have mostly involved injecting antibodies and other reagents into avian and amphibian embryos, or exposing semintact preparations, such as tissue slices, to these agents in culture. The results obtained include disruptions of neuronal crest cell migration in avian embryos (Bronner Fraser, 1986, 1987; Bronner-Fraser and Lallier, 1988; Bronner-Fraser et al., 1992b), inhibition of granule cell migration along glial fibers in cerebellar explants (Chuong et al., 1987; Liest et al., 1992), and abnormal patterns of regeneration at neuromuscular junctions (Mége et al., 1992). In contrast, injections of anti-LM into avian embryos did not lead to any observable defects in the projection of sympathetic preganglionic axons (Yip and Yip, 1992).

Some of these findings implicate particular molecules, such as LM and tenasin, in cell migration and axon elongation, but how do injected antibodies disrupt morphogenetic cell movements? Do injected antibodies directly block a migratory mechanism, or is the disruption more indirect? For example, do the antibody molecules bind to a molecule that is not normally involved in the process, thereby sterically blocking a nearby, but distinct, interaction that is involved in migration? Or does
antibody-induced disruption of cell–ECM interactions prompt a cascade of changes that indirectly disrupts nerve cell or growth cone migration.

This concern about the ambiguity of results obtained by use of antibodies has been addressed by a recently developed technique, chromophore-assisted laser inactivation (CALI), which uses a laser beam to inactivate a protein by high-energy transfer from a fluorochrome-conjugated antibody, bound to the protein. Using this technique, Jay and colleagues (Jay and Keshishian, 1990; Schnipper et al., 1992) have presented results indicating that inactivation of particular axonal surface molecules, fasciclin I and fasciclin II, disrupts the stereotypic outgrowth of grasshopper pioneer axons. Application of CALI to focal areas of embryos or semintact preparations would permit a precisely controlled inactivation of a specific ECM component in a particular region of cell migration or neurite elongation.

Another technique that has come into use for probing the functions of ECM components in mammals is their deletion by gene knockout. Genetically engineered mice lacking the tenascin gene developed normally without obvious defects (Saga et al., 1992). Notwithstanding the possibility of subtle defects, these results would indicate that tenascin is not essential for normal development (Erickson, 1993). It is possible, of course, that in the mutant mice the essential functions of tenascin, if any, are replaced by another member of the tenascin family, or that bypass mechanisms exist to ensure normal development in cases of accidental dysfunction.

Invertebrate species have also been used to investigate the roles of ECM components in neural development. A mutation in the gene unc-6 of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans disrupts circumferential axonal projections, but longitudinal projections are unaffected (Ishii et al., 1992). The sequence of the unc-6 protein most closely resembles the LM B2 chain, and it is proposed that unc-6 codes for an ECM component of the epidermal basal lamina that is part of a dorsalventral axonal guidance system. As discussed below, another gene, unc-5, may code for a plasma membrane receptor for ECM proteins.

In vitro approaches. In vitro studies can directly probe whether an ECM molecule supports particular types of cell migration or axonal elongation. On the basis of such studies, thrombospondin, vitronectin, F-spondin, and the NC1 domain of type IV collagen have been included among ECM molecules that promote neurite outgrowth (Lein et al., 1991; Neugebauer et al., 1991; O'Shea et al., 1991; Klar et al., 1992; Osterhout et al., 1992). Synthetic peptides and epitope-specific antibodies can probe neural interactions with individual structural domains of ECM components. For example, the adhesive and antiadhesive effects of different domains of tenascin have been identified, as well as the specific domains of tenascin that interact with different nerve cell types (Lohrer et al., 1991; Husmann et al., 1992; Prieto et al., 1992). Synthetic peptides have been used to mimic individual structural domains of ECM proteins (Yamada and Kleinman, 1992). Neurite outgrowth is promoted on substrata treated with any of two heparin-binding and two potential β1-integrin–binding peptides from the carboxyl-terminal globular domain of the LM A chain (Skulachev et al., 1991). An arginine-glycine-aspartate-containing peptide of the A chain also promotes neurite outgrowth (Tashiro et al., 1991), and leucine-arginine-glutamate (LRE) sequence in LM and S-laminin may be a specific adhesive site for motor neurons (Hunter et al., 1991). A heparin-binding peptide and a potential integrin-binding peptide from the carboxyl terminus of FN support neurite outgrowth from spinal cord and sensory neurons (Haugen et al., 1992b). While this approach has identified potential functional domains, a strong possibility of artifacts exists. For example, the cell binding activity of heparin-binding peptides may be nonspecific ionic binding of negatively charged surface components to clustered, positively charged residues of the synthetic peptide. As another example of results with uncertain significance, the binding of neurons to the LRE peptide of LM and S-laminin occurs without the presence of extracellular Ca2+, but does not occur at physiological levels of extracellular Ca2+. The challenge is to devise experiments that demonstrate that a peptide sequence of interest actually participates in neuronal interactions with an intact ECM molecule.

In vitro studies suggest that adhesivity is not the only factor to consider in understanding how the multiple domains of ECM components regulate neural cell and growth cone migrations (Lein et al., 1992). For instance, when retinal neurons are grown on substrata treated with LM and the adhesive cell surface molecules I1 and N-cadherin, no clear relationship is found between substratum adhesivity and growth cone shape, elongation rate, or neurite fasciculation (Lemmon et al., 1992). As another example, olfactory neurons, when presented a choice of migrating on FN or LM, prefer to migrate on LM. It is unknown whether this reflects a preference for a particular level of adhesivity or some other aspect of the neuronal interaction with LM. The existence of nonadhesive or even antiadhesive neuronal interactions with LM is indicated by the finding that neuronal adhesion to an FN-treated substratum is much reduced by the addition of as little LM as 1 part in 50 (Calof and Lander, 1991). The immunoglobulin superfamily member F3/F11, which is expressed both bound to cell surfaces and in soluble form, is also intriguing in the diversity of its in vitro effects. In soluble form it promotes neurite outgrowth (Darbee et al., 1992). On neuronal surfaces F3/F11 binds several members of the tenascin family (tenascin, Tn60/180, and restrictin), and mediates the initial adhesion of neurons to a J1-160/180 substratum, followed by release of the neurons from the substratum (Zisch et al., 1992; Pesheva et al., 1993). These diverse responses show how the interactions of multiple neuronal surface components with several functional domains of an ECM component can lead to cascades of effects on cell behavior (Damsky and Werb, 1992; Schweighoffer and Shaw, 1992).

Cell Surface Receptors of ECM Components

The precise spatial and temporal distributions of both growth-promoting and growth-inhibiting ECM components are likely to play an important role in determining patterns of neuronal migration and neurite outgrowth during development. Equally important may be the distribution of cell surface receptors for ECM components on different classes of neurons that confront the same extracellular environment, or on the same class of neurons at different stages of their development. Different types of neurons will extend axons to different ultimate destinations in the embryo through roughly the same initial embryonic terrain. Regulation of receptor expression or modulation of receptor function in different classes of embryonic neurons could potentially generate unique profiles of affinity for extracellular molecules that contribute to the precise patterns of neural cell and growth cone migration seen in vitro. Several recent reviews have dealt with cell surface receptors for ECM components (Damsky and Werb, 1992; Ginsberg et al., 1992; Hynes, 1992; Schweighoffer and Shaw, 1992), and specifically with those re-
ceptrons found in the nervous system (de Curtis, 1991; Reichardt and Tomaselli, 1991; Hynes and Lander, 1992; Letourneau et al., 1992). Here, we present recent findings involving neuronal receptors of ECM components.

**Integrins**

**Distribution of integrins during development and regeneration.** Members of the β1-integrin family are the best-characterized neuronal receptors for ECM components (Fig. 2; Hynes and Lander, 1992). However, our understanding of the spatial and temporal distribution of integrins in vivo is limited due to the lack of reagents that adequately distinguish the various representatives of the β1 class. Antibodies that are specific for different α-integrin subunits have recently allowed the distribution of several integrins to be characterized in the nervous system. In adult avian tissues the α1 LM/collagen receptor is restricted to smooth muscle and capillary endothelial cells, yet during stages of active neurite outgrowth it is transiently expressed in portions of both the CNS and PNS (Duband et al., 1992). The α6 LM receptor is also developmentally regulated, although its distribution is somewhat broader and less temporally restricted than that of the α1 subunit. Integrin α6 expression is noticeably more abundant on subpopulations of spinal neurons, particularly commissural axons and cell bodies (Bronner-Fraser et al., 1992a). While the α5 FN receptor is apparently not expressed by developing chick neuronal cells (Muschler and Horwitz, 1991), it has been implicated in axon outgrowth during regeneration. Both the α5β1 receptor and FN are strongly induced following injury in neuronal and non-neuronal cells of chick peripheral nerve (Lefcort et al., 1992). While these studies do not directly address the function of integrins in neuronal development, their temporal and spatial expression patterns are suggestive of the roles integrins may play. In contrast to the ubiquitous distribution of the β1 subunit during development, specific α-subunits seem ideally situated to mediate axon outgrowth and regeneration in particular subpopulations of neurons. The restricted spatial distributions of different integrins may account for recent observations indicating that there are different surface components that mediate cell-substratum interactions in comparisons between central and peripheral neurons (Haugen et al., 1992a) and between cranial and trunk neural crest cells (Lallier et al., 1992).

**Regulation of integrin expression and function.** The expression of integrins and their affinity for ligands are influenced by many factors, including cytoplasmic activators and repressors, cell-surface modulators, and ECM components (reviewed in Damsky and Werb, 1992; Ginsberg et al., 1992; Hynes, 1992; Schweighoffer and Shaw, 1992). Most of these factors have been described for cells of the hematopoietic system. Although mechanisms of receptor modulation are likely to apply generally to members of the integrin class, there is little specific information concerning modulation of integrin function in neuronal cells. Recently, it was found that α1β1-integrin of neural crest cells binds in the absence of divalent cations to LM substrata prepared in the presence of calcium. In contrast, neural crest cells require the presence of divalent cations for binding to LM substrata prepared in the presence of EDTA, heparin, magnesium, or manganese, and adhesion to LM under these conditions appears to be mediated by a non-α1-integrin receptor (Lallier and Bronner-Fraser, 1992). These data suggest that the conformation of LM can be affected by the concentration of divalent cations available in the extracellular space during its deposition, and that differences in LM conformation can alter both the receptor specificity and the cation dependence of subsequent integrin binding. The potential importance of cation-dependent/independent integrin function is suggested by the observation that cranial and trunk neural crest cells employ different integrins with different cation dependence to interact with LM, FN, and collagens I and IV (Lallier et al., 1992). Such differences in integrin specificity and function could underlie the differences in migration pathway choices made by these two classes of neural crest cells.

The construction and expression of chimeric or truncated molecules has been a useful approach to determining the function of integrins (Hibbs et al., 1991; Dana et al., 1991; Solowska et al., 1991). In a recent study, chimeric cDNAs consisting of the extracellular and transmembrane domains of the α2 collagen/LM receptor and the intracellular domains of the α5 and α6 FN receptors were expressed in rhabdomyosarcoma cells. All the chimeric receptors tested support cell attachment to
collagen and LM in short-term cell adhesion assays. Yet, there are marked differences in the ability of the various cytoplasmic domains to support specific cell behaviors (cell spreading, migration, and exertion of sustained force) that are thought to depend on cell-substratum adhesion (Chan et al., 1992). These results indicate that cell-substratum attachment, as measured by a standard cell adhesion assay, may only poorly reflect the ability of a receptor to mediate cell behaviors that are important during development. Moreover, these results suggest that the highly conserved cytoplasmic domains of integrin α-subunits may interact with intracellular components in distinctly different manners to generate various types of “adhesive” cell behaviors.

There have been few analyses of integrin function in vivo. Antibody perturbation studies indicate a role for β1-integrins in neural crest cell migration (Bronner-Fraser, 1986), and genetic analysis has shown that integrins are required for several aspects of Drosophila development (Leptin et al., 1989; Zusman et al., 1990, 1993). A recent promising approach of Galileo et al. (1992) uses a retroviral vector to introduce integrin antisense mRNA into early neuroblasts of avian optic tectum. In cultured cells, this treatment reduces the levels of β1-integrin expression by 40–60%. In embryos, reduction of β1-integrin expression in the early stages of neuroblast proliferation and migration has profound effects on subsequent development. Integrin-depleted clonal cohorts remain in the ventricular zone, failing to migrate into the tectal plate, and appear to be eliminated eventually. These results suggest that integrin-mediated processes are required for neuroblast migration and that a direct or indirect consequence of integrin depletion is cell death.

Nonintegrin LM receptors

Cell surface β1,4-galactosyltransferase (GalTase) is an LM receptor found in a variety of cell types, including neural crest (Runyan et al., 1986), PC12 cells (Begovac and Shur, 1990; Begovac et al., 1991), and primary sensory neurons (Riopelle and Dow, 1991). GalTase mediates cell attachment and migration by binding to terminal N-acetylgalcosamine residues within the E8 domain of LM (Begovac and Shur, 1990). In a recent study of Hathaway and Shur (1992), the role of GalTase in neural crest migration in vivo was examined. GalTase is present on migrating crest cells and the basal surfaces of neural epithelia. Injection of anti-avian GalTase antibodies into early chick embryos results in specific defects of neural development: neural fold elevation and neural tube closure are perturbed and neural crest migration is delayed. Similar defects in neural tube closure and neural crest migration are observed in chick embryos after the injection of antibodies directed against β1-integrin (Bronner-Fraser, 1986), the HNK-1 carbohydrate moiety (Bronner-Fraser, 1987), tenascin (Bronner-Fraser, 1988), LM/HSPG complex (Bronner-Fraser and Lallier, 1988), and the cell adhesion molecules NCAM and N-cadherin (Bronner-Fraser et al., 1992b), suggesting that these elements of neural crest development are particularly sensitive to disruption of cell-cell and cell-substratum interactions.

Nonintegrin cell surface molecules capable of binding to LM affinity columns and potentially mediating cell interactions with LM have been described (reviewed in Mecham, 1991). One such protein, the 67 kDa elastin/LM receptor, binds LM with high affinity and is found on a variety of migratory cell types (particularly tumor cells), including some neuron cells (G. Yang et al., 1992). While the distribution pattern of this molecule and its biochemical properties are consistent with its proposed function as a LM receptor, cloning of the gene has proven to be difficult. Several attempts have been made to isolate cDNA clones, either with expression library screening using antibodies against the protein or with degenerate oligonucleotide probes based on peptide sequence from the purified molecule. These attempts have identified a highly conserved 1.3 kilobase (kb) transcript that predicts a protein of roughly 33 kDa without a signal sequence or transmembrane domain (see, e.g., Grosso et al., 1991). Based on inferred peptide sequence (Grosso et al., 1991) or biochemical analysis (Auth and Brawerman, 1992), the 33 kDa protein appears to be cytoplasmic, possibly a component of polyribosomes. However, a 13 amino acid synthetic peptide derived from the sequence of the 33 kDa protein does specifically bind LM with high affinity (Castronovo et al., 1991), suggesting that the protein may share functional or at least structural similarity with the 67 kDa cell surface LM-binding protein.

The possibility of shared structural/functional homology between cell surface LM-binding proteins and "unrelated" cytoplasmic proteins is further illustrated by a second example, that of the 120 kDa LM-binding protein termed "crainin" (Smalheiser and Collins, 1992a,b; Smalheiser et al., 1992). Crainin binds to LM in a divergent cation-dependent manner and bears the HNK-1 epitope. Antibodies against crainin label cells undergoing axonogenesis and regenerating optic fibers. Crainin shows significant biochemical similarity and overlapping distribution with another neuronal LM-binding protein, termed the 110 kDa LM-binding protein, and the two proteins are likely to be related (Pomeranz et al., 1991). The first 18 amino acids of the 110 kDa protein are virtually identical to nucleolin, a nuclear phosphoprotein involved in ribosome biogenesis (Kleinman et al., 1991).

Other putative ECM-binding proteins

The gene encoding a 30 kDa heparin binding protein, amphoterin, has been cloned from rat brain (Meremnies et al., 1991). The function of amphoterin has not been completely analyzed, but several properties of the molecule suggest a role in neurite outgrowth. Antibodies against amphoterin block neurite outgrowth by neuroblastoma cells on LM when added in solution and promote neurite outgrowth when plated as a substratum. Amphoterin colocalizes with tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) in filopodia and binds to both plasminogen and tPA in vitro. Binding of amphoterin to either tPA or plasminogen accelerates tPA-catalyzed plasminogen activation about 50-fold (Parkkinen and Rauvala, 1991). Since numerous proteases degrade ECM components, amphoterin's effects on tPA may facilitate mechanical penetration of the ECM by growing axons. Alternatively, amphoterin may indirectly influence neurite outgrowth by accelerating proteolytic activation of growth factors that are sequestered in the ECM. Neural crest cells, for example, secrete an inactive form of transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) that can be activated by a urokinase-like plasminogen activator activity released from migrating neural crest cells (Brauer and Yee, 1993). Activated TGF-β influences the timing of neural crest migration and the adhesion of neural crest cells to ECM components (Delamett and Duband, 1992). Recently, it has been shown that amphoterin also binds the cell surface HSPG synedecan [the low-affinity receptor for basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF)], and that this interaction can be inhibited by heparan sulfate (HS) and heparin (Salmivirita et al., 1992).

In the nematode, the unc-5 gene is required for the proper
mammalian cells (Hedgecock et al., 1987). The unc-5 gene has been cloned and was found to code for a transmembrane receptor-like protein with both immunoglobulin and thrombospondin type II domains. The molecule is expressed in migrating cells and pioneer neurons, and seems likely to function as an ECM component receptor (Leung-Hagenstein et al., 1992). Misexpression of the unc-5 protein in six identified touch receptor neurons is sufficient to misdirect the axons of these cells along a dorsal route similar to that taken by motor neurons endogenously expressing unc-5. The effect of unc-5 misexpression on axon outgrowth is dependent on unc-6, an LM-like ECM molecule that has been proposed as the ligand for unc-5 (see above); in the absence of unc-6, unc-5 misexpression alone is not sufficient to misroute touch receptor neurons dorsally (Hamelin et al., 1993). These results strongly suggest that the LM-like molecule encoded by the unc-6 gene is the ligand for the unc-5 receptor. Moreover, these results provide the first evidence for the widely accepted notion that the route taken by a growing axon is determined by the specific receptors expressed by that cell.

Several neuronal receptor-like protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTP) genes in Drosophila have recently been characterized (Tian et al., 1991; Yang et al., 1991). The deduced proteins contain extracellular FN type III repeats and intracellular PTP domains. The expression of these genes is initially restricted to the axons and growth cones of early arising pioneer neurons. Although the function of these molecules is unknown, Yang et al. (1991) proposed a model for modulation of growth cone guidance by cell surface receptor-PTPs based on the observation that dephosphorylation increases the activity of some tyrosine kinases, for example, pp60c-src. A receptor PTP could potentially regulate growth cone morphology by locally activating a kinase that in turn phosphorylates tubulin monomers, thereby inhibiting microtubule polymerization.

**Proteoglycans in the Nervous System**

PGs, a class of glycoproteins that have a high content of glycosaminoglycans (GAGs), are present not only as ECM components, but also in cell surface, membrane-spanning (Nishiyama et al., 1991), soluble (Andres et al., 1989), and intracellular forms (Aquino et al., 1984; Rauch et al., 1991). Due to the large number of PG species and their low concentrations, and to problems of fixing, staining, isolating, and purifying PGs, it has been difficult to characterize them until recently. However, monoclonal antibody and molecular biological techniques have opened new avenues for the exploration of PGs and produced an explosion of information concerning PG localization, interactions, and functions in the nervous system (Fig. 3). PGs have been implicated in the regulation of cell morphology (Leppä et al., 1992), cell adhesion (Bidan et al., 1992; Drake et al., 1992; Faassen et al., 1992; Iida et al., 1992; Mingull et al., 1992), migration (Perris et al., 1991), proliferation (Ratner et al., 1988; Wright et al., 1989), differentiation (Trautman et al., 1991), cell–cell and cell–ECM interactions (P. Yang et al., 1992), neuronal polarity (LaFont et al., 1992), growth factor binding and presentation (Walicke, 1989; Turnbull et al., 1992), signal transduction (Nishiyama et al., 1991), synapse stabilization and organization of adult brain (Hockfield et al., 1990; Fryer et al., 1992; Rauch et al., 1992), and neurological disorders (Shiog et al., 1992). Given the complexity and diversity of PG structure (Margolis and Margolis, 1989; Ruoslahti, 1989; Schwartz and Smalheiser, 1989; Jackson et al., 1991), the functions of PGs are likely determined by many factors, including the molecules with which they interact, their spatial and temporal expression, and whether cells respond to the protein core of the PGs, to the GAGs, or to both.

**PGs of the ECM**

*Positive influence of PGs on axon outgrowth.* Certain PGs can either be permissive for (allow but not actively stimulate), or promote (actively stimulate) neuronal elongation (Davis et al., 1985; Hantaz-Ambrose et al., 1987; Dow et al., 1991; Iijima et al., 1991, Noonan et al., 1991, Sheppard et al., 1991, Shiga and Oppenheim, 1991; Haugen et al., 1992b; Johnson-Green et al., 1992; Snow and Tourneaut, 1992). For instance, astrocytes, which provide a substrate to which nerve cells attach and elongate in vivo and in vitro, express a number of PGs on their cell surface and also secrete them into the extracellular environment (Johnson-Green et al., 1991). Of these, only HSPG enhances neurite outgrowth by sensory neurons on LN (Johnson-Green et al., 1992).

Both heparin and HSPG are necessary for axon guidance in the cockroach. Of six axon pathways analyzed, only the median fiber tract of the CNS, a homolog to the MP4 midline precursors in the grasshopper (Goodman and Schatz, 1993), and the pathway of the TII neurons of the PNS (Bate, 1976) are altered by exogenous heparin and HS or by treatment with heparinase II and heparitinase (Wang and Denburg, 1992). This alteration is
characterized by inappropriate pathfinding in both pathways and by reduced fasciculation in the Tll pathway. Addition of other GAG moieties such as chondroitin sulfate (CS) A and C, dermatan sulfate (DS), hyaluronic acid, and keratan sulfate (KS) did not alter the outgrowth pattern.

Another cell surface component, possibly a PG, important in insect axon guidance is anchored by GPI (Chang et al., 1992). Treatment of grasshopper embryos with bacterial phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C (PI-PLC), which cleaves the GPI anchor, causes a significant perturbation of highly stereotyped outgrowth of pioneer axons. Treatment with PI-PLC removes fasciclin I immunoreactivity and may also remove GPI-anchored PGs (Drake et al., 1992).

**PGs as inhibitors of axon outgrowth.** Certain PGs either actively inhibit, or are non-permissive substrata for, neurite outgrowth (Carbonetto et al., 1983; Akeson and Warren, 1986; Damon et al., 1988; Muir et al., 1989; Verna et al., 1990; Snow et al., 1990a,b, 1991; Cole and McCabe, 1991; Fichard et al., 1991; McKeon et al., 1991; Oakley and Tosney, 1991; Oohira et al., 1991; Brittis et al., 1992; Snow and Letourneau, 1992), as well as for neural crest migration (Perris and Johansson, 1990; Perris et al., 1991). These PGs are often concentrated where axons do not grow in vivo (Snow et al., 1990b; Cole and McCabe, 1991; Brittis et al., 1992). In chick, a CSPG is expressed in the posterior sclerotome, the perinotochordal mesenchyme, and the pelvic girdle. all of which are regions that axons avoid as they elongate toward the hindlimb (Oakley and Tosney, 1991). Moreover, the expression of a CSPG is spatially and temporally regulated in the rat and chick retina and may direct retinal ganglion cell (RGC) axons toward the optic fissure by means of axon inhibition (Snow et al., 1991, Brittis et al., 1992). However, several PG types are expressed in the RGC layer where axons elongate (Halfter, 1992), in the photoreceptor layer (Landers and Hollyfield, 1992), in the optic nerve (Geisert et al., 1992), or by glial-like cells in the retina (Threlkel et al., 1989), supporting the possibility of a multifunctional role for PGs in optic system development.

Keratan sulfate proteoglycan (KSPG) plays an inhibitory role in the guidance of elongating axons (Snow et al., 1990a,b; Wu et al., 1991). A KSPG, claudixin, isolated from embryonic chick nervous system, abolishes neurite outgrowth on growth-promoting substrata (Cole and McCabe, 1991). Two additional lines of evidence suggest that claudixin may be an inhibitor of neurite outgrowth in vivo. First, claudixin is expressed in vivo in regions, such as the dorsal midline, which have been shown previously to act as a barrier to growth cone advance (Snow et al., 1990b; Wu et al., 1992). Second, enzymatic removal of KS from the KSPG molecule in vitro renders the PG permissive for axon outgrowth (Snow et al., 1990a).

Although many examples exist of PGs inhibiting neurite outgrowth, the mechanism(s) of inhibition is yet undefined. Recent data show that contact with CSPG raises intracellular calcium levels in growth cones. Thus, inhibition of growth cone advance may occur via this second-messenger pathway. A rise in growth cone calcium is not observed after enzymatic removal of the carbohydrate moiety, suggesting that this portion of the PG is responsible for inhibition of axon elongation (Snow et al., 1993).

**PGs and neuronal polarity.** Neurons are morphologically and functionally polar cells, having distinct axonal and dendritic processes (Goslin and Banker, 1989; Lein et al., 1992). PGs may be among the factors regulating the development of polarity in neurons. Lafont et al. (1992) have shown that CS and HS enhance axonal outgrowth, while DS stimulates dendritic growth. DS selectively enhances neuronal adhesion and spreading in a concomitant with abundant expression of the dendritic marker microtubule-associated protein-2 (MAP2). Similarly, CSPG may regulate axonal initiation by RGCs (Snow et al., 1991; Brittis et al., 1992).

**PGs at the cell surface**

Thus far, we have considered the roles of ECM- and cell-associated PGs in nervous system development. PGs are expressed also on the cell surface, where they may function as receptors for ECM components (Rapraeger et al., 1986; Hoffman et al., 1988), bind growth factors (Jackson et al., 1991; Thiery and Boyer, 1992), and mediate adhesion (Lewandowska et al., 1987, Hynes and Lauder, 1992). HSPGs deserve special mention since (1) they act as a cell surface receptor for ECM components via their membrane- and matrix-anchoring domains within their protein core (Drake et al., 1992), (2) they bind growth factors (Andres et al., 1992), (3) they are involved in cell-cell and cell-substratum interactions (Haugen et al., 1990, 1992b; Kallapur and Akeson, 1992), and (4) their expression is spatially and temporally regulated (David et al., 1992).

**PGs as cell surface receptors.** To date, the best-characterized cell surface PG receptor of ECM components is syndecan, an HS/CS-containing integral membrane PG, first described as a surface component of mouse mammary epithelial cells (Saunders and Bernfeld, 1988; Bernfeld et al., 1992). Syndecan is associated with the intracellular actin cytoskeleton (Rapraeger et al., 1986). Syndecan was initially thought to be restricted to epithelial cells and lymphocytes. However, recent analysis indicates that a putative member of the syndecan family, N-s syndecan, is expressed by Schwann cells and in the CNS (Carey et al., 1992). N-s syndecan expression is more abundant in neonatal than in embryonic or adult rat brain. Although N-s syndecan shows limited homology to syndecan in the membrane-spanning domain, much of the molecule is not homologous to any known protein and a large segment of the gene encoding the extracellular domain has not been cloned. Thus, the importance of N-s syndecan as a nervous receptor for ECM components is unclear.

**PG binding of growth factors.** The binding of growth factors to PGs has the potential for regulation of cell behavior (Waliczek, 1989; Katoh-Semba et al., 1992). Acidic and basic FGF, for example, bind to heparin and HSPG, with HS appearing to act as a cofactor for binding of FGF to its cellular receptor (Yayon et al., 1991). Heparin and HSPGs may protect FGF from degradation, provide an accessible store of FGF within the ECM or, at the cell surface, may help present growth factors to their appropriate receptors (Hondermarck et al., 1992). Syndecan has been suggested as a low-affinity receptor for hFGF (Hondermarck et al., 1992), facilitating the association of hFGF with its high-affinity receptor (Sutherland et al., 1991). A HS/CSPG, betaglycan, binds TGF-β via the core protein, and bFGF by means of its HS chains (Andres et al., 1989, 1992). The binding of growth factors by PGs may also regulate neurite outgrowth, since interactions between bFGF and heparin, HS, or hyaluronic acid promote neurite outgrowth from hippocampal cells in culture (Waliczek, 1988).

**PGs, adhesion, and NCAM.** PGs play complex roles in the adhesive interactions of neural cells. Best described are the HSPGs, which facilitate adhesion of many cell types to ECM (Bidan et al., 1992; Iida et al., 1992; Minguell et al., 1992). Several studies have addressed the mechanisms by which HSPG
and heparin promote adhesion and neurite outgrowth, and have identified heparin-binding domains of several ECM components (Dow et al., 1991; Noonan et al., 1991; Skubitz et al., 1991; Haugen et al., 1992b).

PGs may also modulate several cell adhesion molecules, including NCAM. For example, the CSPGs 1D1, neurocan, and 3F8, which bind directly to neurons, inhibit homophilic cell binding mediated by NCAM and neuroglia cell adhesion molecule (Ng-CAM; Grumet et al., 1993). Another neuronal CSPG, cytotactin-binding PG, associates with cytotactin and may mediate neuron-glia adhesion (Hoffman and Edelman, 1987).

The heparin-binding domain (HBD) of NCAM mediates heterophilic interactions of NCAM with cell surface HSPG. Two neural cell lines, one that expresses NCAM and another that does not, both adhere to a synthetic peptide that contains the amino acid sequence of the HBD of NCAM. A polyclonal antisera against NCAM does not significantly inhibit cell adhesion to the HBD peptide. However, preincubation of the substratum-bound HBD peptide with heparin causes a significant reduction in adhesion of the cells to the peptide. In addition, inhibition of synthesis of HSPG or removal of cell surface HSPG decreases cell adhesion to the HBD peptide (Kallapur and Akeson, 1992). Taken together, these results indicate that the HBD of NCAM does not mediate homophilic NCAM interactions, but rather mediates heterophilic interactions by binding to HSPG on the cell surface.

In addition to containing a heparin-binding domain, NCAM incorporates an unusual polysaccharide, polysialic acid (PSA; Rutishauser, 1989; Hekmat et al., 1990; Landmesser et al., 1990; Acheson et al., 1991). PSA is a linear homopolymer that, when hydrated, occupies a large volume and may exert steric effects at the cell surface that regulate interactions between other adhesion receptors. A model to account for the influence of PSA on intercellular space was compiled based on physiochemical measurements of cells, and suggests that changes in PSA on NCAM can alter the distance between apposing cell membranes by 25%. Cell surface-associated HSPG and CSPG did not influence the space between cells, even though these molecules contain large hydrodynamic domains and are highly negatively charged than PSA (P. Yang et al., 1992). It's temporally and spatially regulated expression during initial motoneuron outgrowth through the limb plexus region is consistent with a role for PSA in axon outgrowth (Landmesser, 1978; Lance-Jones and Landmesser, 1981; Tosney and Landmesser, 1985). PSA is important for sorting of axonal projections in the plexus, but not for selection of the appropriate muscle nerve (Tang et al., 1992).

**PGs in disease**

Focus on PGs has expanded to encompass not only the roles played by these molecules in normal physiology, but also the pathology that can result from their abnormal metabolism. Several recent studies have implicated certain PGs in conditions involving aberrant axonal outgrowth, such as in CNS injury, and in the development and progression of Alzheimer's disease (AD).

In a model of injury to adult cerebral cortex in rat (McKeon et al., 1991; Laywell et al., 1992), CSPG and tenasin are colocalized with astrocytes in the developing scar. Scar tissue does not support neurite outgrowth in vivo. Similarly, regenerating nerves stop at the dorsal root entry zone, shown recently to express CSPG (Pindzola et al., 1993). The results of these studies indicate that CSPG and/or tenasin may inhibit or modulate outgrowth of CNS axons following injury in vivo.

Recent data suggest that PGs may play a role in the development and pathology of AD. AD is characterized by deposition of β-amyloid protein in blood vessels, which can form senile plaques that are associated with senile dementia. β-Amyloid is suspected to arise from aberrant posttranslational proteolytic processing of a transmembrane glycoprotein, the amyloid precursor protein (APP), which has a number of forms that arise from differentially spliced transcripts of a single gene (Gold et al., 1990; Cummings et al., 1992; LeBlanc et al., 1992; Luo et al., 1992; Suzuki et al., 1992). Both membrane-associated and soluble (secreted) forms of APP exist, and one report suggests that APP mediates the promotion of neurite outgrowth by NGF (Milward et al., 1992). Several studies suggest that the membrane precursor for AD β-amyloid protein is associated with HSPG (Schubert et al. 1988; Kalaria et al., 1992; Su et al., 1992). Shiyo et al. (1992, 1993) report that APP also exists as the core protein of a CSPG secreted from the glial cell line C6. In C6, approximately 90% of the secreted form of APP occurs in the CSPG form, indicating that it is likely to play a role in the biological function of the protein. Further, a dermatan sulfate proteoglycan, decorin, exists in amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles of AD and may be involved in abnormal neuronal growth (Snow et al., 1992).

**Conclusion**

Recent evidence has further augmented our awareness of the diversity and complexity of the involvement of the ECM in the movements of cells and growth cones during neural development. It is now clear that ECM components have both stimulatory and inhibitory effects on nerve cell and growth cone migrations, and much remains to be learned about how interactions with the ECM generate transmembrane signals that regulate the cytoskeletal and membrane activities that drive these morphogenetic movements. The application of cellular and molecular approaches has revealed an almost overwhelming number of potential interactions between ECM components and integrins, nonintegrin surface receptors, PGs, adhesive glycoproteins, proteases, and other ECM components. Future work will seek to elucidate the molecular domains that regulate and mediate the interactions of ECM components and cell surface molecules. Ultimately, the interactions of nerve cells with the ECM must be revealed in vivo, and so it augurs well that multidisciplinary strategies, involving cellular, biochemical, and molecular approaches, are being devised to inactivate selected ECM and cell surface proteins, or their genes, within intact embryos. Techniques that effectively probe the function of individual components of neuron–ECM interactions will help to unravel the complex events of nerve cell migration and growth cone navigation. However, the apparent multiplicity and potential redundancy of interactions between neurons and the ECM will continue to challenge our attempts to advance our understanding.
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